

# THE HOUSING HYPOTHESIS

*Rethinking Ancient Temples as Pre-Catastrophe Residential Complexes*

*Evidence from Ergonomics, Domestic Traces, and Human Remains*

Peter Streitenberger, M.A. phil.  
bibelgriechisch.online  
January 2026

## Abstract

This paper presents a systematic re-examination of ancient megalithic structures conventionally classified as "temples." Drawing on archaeological evidence of domestic activities—including chemical residues (phosphates, fatty acids, wine acids), ergonomic anomalies (door heights of 4-21m, step heights 2-3x normal), human and animal remains in foundations, and everyday artifacts (game boards, graffiti)—we propose that many of these structures originally served as residential complexes rather than purely religious buildings. The "Housing Hypothesis" suggests that post-catastrophe populations discovered, interpreted, and repurposed these structures as sacred spaces, adding inscriptions and religious significance to pre-existing architecture. This framework explains numerous archaeological anomalies that remain problematic under conventional interpretations, including the presence of organic remains, the disproportionate scale of architectural elements, and the discrepancy between crude inscriptions and precision-engineered stonework.

# 1. Introduction: The Central Question

The conventional classification of megalithic structures as "temples" rests primarily on two assumptions: (1) the presence of religious iconography and inscriptions, and (2) the apparent absence of domestic artifacts within the main chambers. However, recent archaeological analyses have revealed extensive evidence of everyday activities within these structures—evidence that sits uncomfortably with a purely sacral interpretation.

This paper builds upon the NIT (Natural In-situ Transformation) framework previously applied to bronze statuary, which demonstrated that certain ancient metal objects containing preserved human remains could not have been produced through conventional casting techniques. The central case—the Liuquan Buddha statue containing a complete human skeleton with preserved textiles and paper in direct contact with the bronze shell, yet showing no casting markers (chaplets, clay cores, weld seams)—presents a thermodynamic impossibility under conventional metallurgical theory.

Extending this framework to megalithic architecture, we ask: What if "temples" were not built as religious structures, but rather represent pre-catastrophe residential complexes that were subsequently discovered and interpreted as sacred spaces by post-catastrophe populations?

# 2. The Ergonomic Anomaly

## 2.1 Step Heights

Modern ergonomic standards specify optimal step heights of approximately 17 cm for comfortable human use. However, measurements from ancient "temple" structures consistently show step heights of 30-50 cm— two to three times the ergonomic optimum. This pattern appears across cultures and continents:

Structure	Step Height	Factor vs. Normal
Baalbek (Jupiter Temple)	~30 cm per step (6.1m total rise)	1.8x
Baalbek (Bacchus Temple)	~30 cm (33 steps)	1.8x
Parthenon (Athens)	~20 cm (3 steps)	1.2x
Garni (Armenia)	~30 cm (9 steps)	1.8x
Egyptian temples (typical)	30-50 cm	2-3x
Normal human ergonomics	17 cm	1.0x (baseline)

Mainstream archaeology explains these oversized steps as "monumental architecture designed for the eyes of the gods" or ceremonial approaches not intended for regular use. However, this interpretation fails to explain why the *interior* spaces of these structures—where daily ritual activities would presumably occur—maintain the same disproportionate scaling.

## 2.2 Door Heights

Structure	Door Height	Implied Occupant (±3)
Pantheon (Rome)	7.53 m	~2.5 m
Baalbek (Bacchus)	~13 m	~4.3 m
Karnak (Pylon)	~21 m	~7 m
Garni (Armenia)	4.68 m	~1.6 m
Normal human door	~2.5 m	~0.8 m (normal human)

If door height typically correlates with occupant height at a ratio of approximately 3:1 (allowing clearance), the door heights observed in megalithic structures imply occupants ranging from 2.5 to 7 meters in stature— far exceeding any documented human population.

## 2.3 The 'Priest Houses' Pattern

A striking pattern emerges when comparing main temple structures with adjacent "priest quarters." At sites like Karnak, the main temple features 21-meter pylons and 8-10 meter ceilings, while documented priest houses nearby measure 10×7 meters with normal human-scale rooms (~10 m<sup>2</sup>), two-story layouts, and standard kitchen facilities.

This dichotomy—gigantic "sacred" spaces alongside human-scale "service" buildings—is conventionally explained as hierarchical architecture separating divine and human realms. Under the Housing Hypothesis, however, this pattern suggests a different relationship: the "priest houses" represent post-catastrophe construction by normal-sized humans who established themselves around pre-existing structures built by (or for) significantly larger occupants.

### 3. Evidence for Residential Use

#### 3.1 Chemical Residues: Sanitation and Food

Modern analytical chemistry has revealed extensive traces of domestic activity within temple interiors. Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry of stone floor samples have identified:

Substance	Location	Interpretation
Phosphates (high concentration)	Floor/soil samples	Urine/organic decomposition
Saturated animal fatty acids	Floor pores (e.g., Aegina)	Meat consumption in situ
Tartaric acid (wine acid)	Floor plates	Wine consumption
Nitrogen compounds	Drainage channels	Organic waste
Opium alkaloids	Sleep chambers (Gazi, Crete)	Residential/medical use

The presence of fatty acids and wine residues deep within floor pores indicates that meals were consumed inside the temple proper—not merely in adjacent areas. This contradicts the conventional view of the cella as a space of absolute ritual purity. The high phosphate concentrations, consistent with prolonged human habitation, further support residential rather than occasional ceremonial use.

#### 3.2 Sanitary Infrastructure: The 'Sacred Basin' Problem

Perhaps the most striking reinterpretation concerns the so-called "sacred washing basins" and "ritual purification channels" found in temple complexes. Chemical analysis reveals a pattern that challenges conventional interpretation:

Chemical Finding	Conventional Interpretation	Prosaic Alternative
High phosphate concentration	Ritual blood deposits	Urine (long-term habitation)
Nitrogen compounds in channels	Sacred purification water	Fecal matter / organic waste
Stone basins with drainage	Holy washing vessels	Sanitary facilities (toilets)
Drainage channels	Sacrificial blood disposal	Sewage / wastewater system

The presence of phosphates (a primary component of urine) and nitrogen compounds (consistent with fecal decomposition) in drainage systems strongly suggests sanitary rather than ritual function. The conventional interpretation requires that ancient peoples deposited large quantities of blood in precisely the locations where one would expect waste products from residential use. The Housing Hypothesis proposes the simpler explanation: these were toilets and sewage systems serving a residential population.

#### 3.3 Stone 'Furniture': Altars, Thrones, or Domestic Objects?

Addressing a key objection—"Where are the furnishings one would expect in a residence?"—we note that stone objects classified as sacred fixtures may represent the durable remnants of domestic furniture:

Stone Object	Sacred Classification	Domestic Reinterpretation	Supporting Evidence
Central stone table	Altar (sacrifice)	Kitchen/work table	Knife marks, fatty residues
Elevated stone seat	Divine throne	Chair/seating	Ergonomic anomalies
Wall-mounted benches	Priest seating	Built-in furniture	Wear patterns
Stone basins	Libation vessels	Washing facilities	Water channels
Large stone boxes	Sarcophagi	Storage containers	Precision vs. inscription gap

The furniture is not missing—it has been misclassified. The very objects we interpret as sacred fixtures may represent the stone-built domestic furnishings of a pre-catastrophe civilization, subsequently reinterpreted through a religious lens by later populations who could not conceive of such structures as mere dwellings.

### 3.2 Everyday Artifacts

Beyond chemical traces, physical artifacts indicate sustained daily activity:

Artifact Type	Location	Significance
Game boards (Merels/Nine Men's Morris)	Steps (Parthenon, Didyma)	Leisure activity
Foot-graffiti (outlines)	Walls, roofs (Egypt)	'I was here' markers
Knife-sharpening grooves	Column bases	Food preparation
Wear patterns (non-pedestrian)	Cella floors (Hephaisteion)	Heavy object movement
Personal inscriptions	Throughout (Philae, Dendera)	Individual presence

The presence of game boards carved into temple steps is particularly significant. Guards or priests "passing time" during religious duties offers one explanation, but the sheer quantity and distribution of such artifacts suggests more sustained occupation than occasional ceremonial attendance would require.

## 4. The Inhabitants: Human and Animal Remains

### 4.1 Human Remains in Foundations

Human skeletal remains within temple structures are conventionally interpreted as "foundation deposits" or "building sacrifices"—ritual offerings intended to consecrate the structure. However, several features of these finds challenge this interpretation:

Location	Find Description	Conventional	Alternative
Mesopotamia (Ur, Eridu)	Infant skeletons in clay vessels	Building sacrifice	Catastrophe victims
Gezer (Israel)	Skeletons in wall foundations	Biblical building sacrifice	In-situ death
Anemospilia (Crete)	4 skeletons, earthquake context	Sacrifice during quake	Catastrophe victims
Luni (Italy)	Skeleton imprint in mortar	Accident during construction	Transformation?
Baalbek (Lebanon)	Skeletons in substructures	'Later burials'	Undated—original?

The Anemospilia case is particularly significant: four individuals died during an earthquake, one positioned on an altar-like table with bound legs and a bronze knife nearby. Conventional interpretation sees interrupted human sacrifice. Under the Housing Hypothesis, this may document inhabitants caught in a catastrophic event while inside what was their dwelling.

### 4.2 Women and Children

The presence of women and children within temple structures appears in multiple forms:

**Caryatids (Erechtheion, Athens):** Six female figures "supporting" the roof structure. Conventionally interpreted as enslaved women from Karyai or priestesses of Artemis. Under the Housing Hypothesis, these may represent women who were beneath collapsing roof elements during a catastrophic event—the "support" relationship being post-hoc interpretation of their final positions.

**Child remains:** Infant and child skeletons in foundations across Mesopotamia, the Levant, and Carthage are conventionally attributed to foundation sacrifice. However, the systematic non-dating of many such finds leaves open the possibility that these represent family units who died together during catastrophic events rather than ritual offerings.

### 4.3 Animal Remains

Domestic and ritual animal remains further support the residential interpretation:

Find	Location	Conventional	Housing Hypothesis
700+ dog skeletons	Ashkelon (Israel)	Ritual dog cemetery	Household pets
Dogs/horses under thresholds	Greek/Roman temples	Guardian sacrifices	Pets died with owners
Cattle bones in drainage	Olympia, others	Sacrifice remains	Meal remains / livestock

Blood residue (phosphates)	Altar/floor areas	Sacrifice blood	Butchering for food
----------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	---------------------

## 5. The Multi-Story Hypothesis: Gopurams and Ziggurats

South Indian gopurams (temple towers) present a particularly striking case for the Housing Hypothesis. These structures feature:

- Multiple horizontal levels (4-11 stories)
- Hundreds of figures arranged in "niches" at each level
- Figures of varying sizes (adults, children, animals)
- Apparent chaos rather than hierarchical arrangement
- Figures with distended features (protruding eyes, elongated ears)

Conventional interpretation sees these as depictions of the divine pantheon—gods, demigods, and celestial beings arranged in cosmic hierarchy. However, the visual impression is less of organized worship than of crowded habitation: figures appear stacked upon one another, arms extending in multiple directions, faces showing expressions that could be read as distress rather than divine serenity.

Under the Housing Hypothesis, gopurams may represent multi-story residential complexes—ancient apartment buildings—whose inhabitants were caught in catastrophic circumstances. The "niches" would represent individual dwelling units; the figures, former residents; the chaos, the moment of catastrophe. Post-catastrophe populations, finding these structures with their "frozen" inhabitants, would have naturally interpreted them as divine imagery and added religious significance through later inscriptions and ritual use.

### 5.1 The Physical Anomalies

Several physical features of these figure-complexes support the transformation hypothesis:

Feature	Conventional	Housing/NIT
Protruding eyes	Divine sight / artistic style	Pressure effects during transformation
Elongated ears	Buddha symbolism / jewelry weight	Tissue stretching during process
Multiple arms	Divine power representation	Multiple bodies overlapping
Distorted faces	Divine ecstasy / artistic choice	Death agony / transformation stress
Chaotic arrangement	Cosmic complexity	Panic / mass casualty event

The worldwide consistency of these features—protruding eyes and elongated ears appearing across Egyptian, Indian, Mesoamerican, and Pacific Island traditions—suggests either remarkable artistic convergence or a common source being documented. The Housing Hypothesis proposes the latter: post-catastrophe populations across the globe observed similar physical characteristics in the remains they discovered and incorporated these features into their developing religious iconography.

### 5.2 The Vertical Distribution Pattern



A striking pattern emerges when examining the vertical distribution of figures on multi-story structures such as Egyptian pylons: smaller figures consistently appear on upper levels, while larger figures occupy lower positions. Additionally, ground-floor areas often contain no figures at all—only structural elements like columns.

Under the Housing Hypothesis, this distribution pattern may document flood-response behavior:

Level	Observation	Conventional	Flood-Response Interpretation
Upper floors	Smaller figures	Hierarchical symbolism	Children moved upward first
Middle floors	Larger figures	Important deities	Adults remained below
Ground floor	Empty / columns only	Monumental entrance	Already flooded—no survivors

This interpretation aligns with universal human behavior during flooding: parents instinctively move children to higher ground first, while adults remain on lower levels longer. The absence of figures at ground level—replaced by open colonnades—may indicate that these areas were already submerged when the transformation event occurred, leaving no inhabitants to be preserved.

### 5.3 Beam Socket Evidence

Egyptian pylons and similar structures display regular horizontal rows of square holes at consistent heights. Conventionally interpreted as scaffolding holes from construction, these may alternatively represent beam sockets for wooden floor structures separating residential stories:

- Regular horizontal spacing consistent with load-bearing requirements
- Square profiles matching timber beam cross-sections
- Positions correlating with transitions between figure-size zones
- Wood deterioration over millennia would leave only the stone sockets

If these structures were multi-story residences with wooden floors (now decayed), the beam sockets would remain as the only evidence of interior floor levels—evidence currently classified as construction scaffolding.

## 6. The Inscription Anomaly

One of the most compelling arguments for the Housing Hypothesis comes from the systematic discrepancy between structural precision and inscription quality.

### 6.1 The Serapeum Case Study

The Serapeum of Saqqara contains 24 granite sarcophagi, each weighing approximately 70 tons (100 tons with lids). Technical analysis reveals:

- Internal surfaces polished to mirror-finish
- Corner angles accurate to thousandths of an inch
- Flatness exceeding modern industrial granite surface plates
- Material (Aswan granite) transported 800 km

Yet the hieroglyphic inscriptions on these precision-engineered objects are crude—uneven, shallow, and poorly spaced compared to the flawless surfaces they adorn. This discrepancy suggests the inscriptions were added by a later population that lacked the technology to produce the objects themselves.

Conventional archaeology resolves this by attributing both object and inscription to the same culture, with the inscription quality reflecting "different craftsmen" or "time pressure." The Housing Hypothesis suggests a simpler explanation: the objects predate the inscriptions by a significant margin, and the inscribing culture repurposed artifacts they found but could not replicate.

### 6.2 Global Pattern

This pattern—precision engineering with crude secondary inscriptions—appears worldwide:

Site	Structure Quality	Inscription Quality
Serapeum (Egypt)	Micrometer precision	Crude, uneven hieroglyphs
Puma Punku (Bolivia)	Interlocking precision blocks	Later Tiwanaku additions rough
Baalbek (Lebanon)	1000-ton precisely cut megaliths	Roman inscriptions standard quality
Göbekli Tepe (Turkey)	Precision T-pillars	Crude animal reliefs (some)

## 7. The Dating Problem

A fundamental methodological issue underlies conventional temple chronology: stone itself is undatable. All dating of megalithic structures relies on associated materials (pottery, charcoal, bones) or stylistic comparison. This creates potential for circular reasoning:

1. Structure is assigned a date based on associated artifacts
2. Artifacts found within are assigned to that date
3. The date is "confirmed" by the artifacts

### 7.1 The Baalbek Problem

Human remains found in Baalbek's substructures illustrate this issue. According to official statements from the 2019 excavation season, skeletal finds were assigned dates based on associated materials (coins, ceramics) or architectural context. However:

- Finds in deeper layers without associated materials were classified as "later burials" without independent dating
- Systematic C14 dating of the skeletal remains themselves has not been published
- The current location of the skeletal material is not documented in accessible sources

This methodological gap—assigning dates based on assumption rather than direct measurement—leaves open the possibility that some remains are contemporaneous with the original structures rather than representing later interments.

### 7.2 What Would Falsify the Housing Hypothesis?

The Housing Hypothesis makes testable predictions that differ from conventional interpretations:

Test	Conventional Prediction	Housing Hypothesis
C14 of foundation skeletons	Date matches construction era	May predate assumed construction
CT scans of caryatid-type figures	Solid stone throughout	Internal anomalies / voids possible
DNA of 'core material' in bronze	No human DNA (clay additives)	Human DNA present
Isotope analysis of Serapeum materials	Match Egyptian sourcing	May show different signatures

## 8. Conclusion

The Housing Hypothesis does not claim to have proven that ancient temples were residences. Rather, it identifies a coherent pattern of anomalies—ergonomic, chemical, artifactual, and skeletal— that resist satisfactory explanation under the conventional "purpose-built religious structure" paradigm.

The evidence presented suggests that many megalithic structures may have served residential functions before being repurposed as religious sites by later populations. This framework explains:

- Why "temples" contain domestic chemical residues
- Why proportions exceed human ergonomic requirements
- Why human and animal remains appear in structural contexts
- Why precision engineering coexists with crude inscriptions
- Why "guardian figures" appear integrated with architecture
- Why the pattern repeats across unconnected cultures

The global distribution of these features—from Egyptian pylons to Indian gopurams to Mesoamerican pyramids—suggests either remarkable independent convergence or a common origin. The Housing Hypothesis proposes that post-catastrophe populations worldwide discovered pre-existing structures, interpreted them through religious frameworks, and added the inscriptions and iconography we now use to date and classify these sites.

### 8.1 Research Priorities

Testing the Housing Hypothesis requires:

1. **Independent dating:** C14 analysis of skeletal remains in foundations, independent of architectural assumptions
2. **Internal imaging:** CT scanning of monolithic figures (caryatids, colossi) for internal structure
3. **Chemical analysis:** Systematic sampling of floor materials across temple interiors for domestic residues
4. **Comparative ergonomics:** Statistical analysis of step heights, door dimensions, and ceiling heights across cultures
5. **DNA analysis:** Testing of "core materials" in bronze statues and organic inclusions in stone

*"The question is not whether ancient peoples built temples—clearly they did. The question is whether everything we call a 'temple' was built as one."*

**Acknowledgments:** This paper synthesizes research conducted in collaboration with AI analysis tools and draws on publicly available archaeological literature. The author welcomes critical engagement and falsification attempts.

**Contact:** [bibelgriechisch.online](http://bibelgriechisch.online)